

# ReActor: Reinforcement Learning for Physics-Aware Motion Retargeting

## Supplementary Material

DAVID MÜLLER, Disney Research, Switzerland  
 AGON SERIFI, Disney Research, Switzerland  
 SAMMY CHRISTEN, Disney Research, Switzerland  
 RUBEN GRANDIA, Disney Research, Switzerland  
 ESPEN KNOOP, Disney Research, Switzerland  
 MORITZ BÄCHER, Disney Research, Switzerland

Table 1. **Reward Terms for Downstream RL Training.** The root position is given by  $\mathbf{x}^{\text{rt}}$  and the root height is  $z^{\text{rt}}$ . The root orientation matrix is  $\mathbf{R}^{\text{rt}}$ , the root’s linear and angular velocities are  $\mathbf{v}^{\text{rt}}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\omega}^{\text{rt}}$ , respectively. We denote rigid body positions as  $\mathbf{x}^b$  and rigid body orientations as  $\mathbf{R}^b$ . The terms  $\boldsymbol{\tau}_t^{\text{its}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbf{q}}_t$  are joint torques and accelerations. The policy actions are given by  $\mathbf{a}_t^{\text{its}}$ . Note that in this case  $\mathbf{g}_t$  the retargeted trajectory and  $\mathbf{s}_t$  denotes the simulation state of the downstream RL policy.

Name	Reward Term	Weight G1	Weight Lima
<i>Motion Tracking</i>			
Root position xy	$-\ \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{g}_t}^{\text{rt}} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}_t}^{\text{rt}}\ _2^2$	5.0	5.0
Root height	$-(z_{\mathbf{g}_t}^{\text{rt}} - z_{\mathbf{s}_t}^{\text{rt}})^2$	5.0	5.0
Root orientation	$-\ \text{Log}((\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{s}_t}^{\text{rt}})^T \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{g}_t}^{\text{rt}})\ _2^2$	3.0	3.0
Root lin vel.	$-\ \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{g}_t}^{\text{rt}} - \mathbf{v}_{\mathbf{s}_t}^{\text{rt}}\ _2^2$	0.5	0.5
Root ang. vel.	$-\ \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{g}_t}^{\text{rt}} - \boldsymbol{\omega}_{\mathbf{s}_t}^{\text{rt}}\ _2^2$	0.5	0.5
Rbs position	$-\ \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{g}_t}^b - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}_t}^b\ _2^2$	5.0	5.0
Rbs orientation	$-\ \text{Log}((\mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{s}_t}^b)^T \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{g}_t}^b)\ _2^2$	2.5	2.5
Survival	1.0	10.0	1.0
<i>Regularization</i>			
Joint torques	$-\ \boldsymbol{\tau}_t^{\text{its}}\ _2^2$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-4}$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-3}$
Joint acc.	$-\ \hat{\mathbf{q}}_t\ _2^2$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-8}$	$2.5 \cdot 10^{-6}$
Joint action rate	$-\ \mathbf{a}_t^{\text{its}} - \mathbf{a}_{t-1}^{\text{its}}\ _2^2$	0.15	3.0
Joint action acc.	$-\ \mathbf{a}_t^{\text{its}} - 2\mathbf{a}_{t-1}^{\text{its}} + \mathbf{a}_{t-2}^{\text{its}}\ _2^2$	$1.0 \cdot 10^{-2}$	1.0

Table 2. **Performance Variability (Lima).** Best and worst case (min/max of the per-motion mean) for the kinematic metrics reported in the main paper.

Metric	ReActor	OmniRetarget	GMR
Ground Pen. [cm]	0.0 / 0.0	0.0 / 0.0	0.0 / 9.3
Self Pen. [cm]	0.0 / 0.0	0.0 / 17.2	0.0 / 15.3
Foot Slide [cm/s]	0.0 / 52.3	0.0 / 98.6	0.0 / 95.5
Foot Float [cm]	0.0 / 9.4	0.0 / 21.4	0.0 / 32.4

## A Downstream RL Policy Details

Following prior work, we evaluate the retargeting methods on a downstream tracking task by training RL policies on the retargeted motions, with tracking performance serving as a proxy for motion quality [Liao et al. 2025; Yang et al. 2025]. We train the RL policies following the DeepMimic framework [Peng et al. 2018], where the policy is conditioned on a motion reference and optimized using explicit tracking rewards. The reward terms used for training are detailed in Tab. 1.

We measure the success rate based on the training termination criteria, as proposed in [Yang et al. 2025]. A trial is considered a failure if the robot’s root deviates by more than 1 m from the target root position or if the geodesic distance between the current and target root orientation exceeds  $45^\circ$ .

## B Performance Variability

Tab. 2 reports best- and worst-case results (min/max of the per-motion mean) for Lima, complementing the mean and standard deviation reported in the main paper.

## References

- Qiayuan Liao, Takara E. Truong, Xiaoyu Huang, Yuman Gao, Guy Tevet, Koushil Sreenath, and C. Karen Liu. 2025. BeyondMimic: From Motion Tracking to Versatile Humanoid Control via Guided Diffusion. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2508.08241
- Xue Bin Peng, Pieter Abbeel, Sergey Levine, and Michiel van de Panne. 2018. DeepMimic: example-guided deep reinforcement learning of physics-based character skills. *ACM Trans. Graph.* 37, 4 (2018). doi:10.1145/3197517.3201311
- Lujie Yang, Xiaoyu Huang, Zhen Wu, Angjoo Kanazawa, Pieter Abbeel, Carmelo Sferazza, C. Karen Liu, Rocky Duan, and Guanya Shi. 2025. OmniRetarget: Interaction-Preserving Data Generation for Humanoid Whole-Body Loco-Manipulation and Scene Interaction. doi:10.48550/arXiv.2509.26633

Authors’ Contact Information: David Müller, david.cao@disneyresearch.com, Disney Research, Switzerland; Agon Serifi, agon.serifi@disneyresearch.com, Disney Research, Switzerland; Sammy Christen, sammy.christen@disneyresearch.com, Disney Research, Switzerland; Ruben Grandia, ruben.grandia@disneyresearch.com, Disney Research, Switzerland; Espen Knoop, espen.knoop@disneyresearch.com, Disney Research, Switzerland; Moritz Bächer, moritz.baecher@disneyresearch.com, Disney Research, Switzerland.